

PAPER NAME

287-1778-1-LE (2).docx

AUTHOR

Rezki Naufan Hendrawan

WORD COUNT

3389 Words

CHARACTER COUNT

18988 Characters

PAGE COUNT

11 Pages

FILE SIZE

3.8MB

SUBMISSION DATE

Sep 26, 2023 7:26 AM GMT+7

REPORT DATE

Sep 26, 2023 7:27 AM GMT+7

● 8% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.

- 5% Internet database
- 6% Publications database
- Crossref database
- Crossref Posted Content database
- 4% Submitted Works database

● Excluded from Similarity Report

- Bibliographic material
- Cited material

GEOMORPHIC INDICES AND FAULT SEGMENTATION INDICATION OF MENANGA FAULT AT PESAWARAN, LAMPUNG

PETUNJUK GEOMORFIK DAN INDIKASI SEGMENTASI SESAR PADA SESAR MENANGA DI PESAWARAN, LAMPUNG

Rezki Naufan Hendrawan^{1*}, Windi Anarta Draniswari², Agim Yustian Bakhtiar³, Angga Jati Widiatama⁴

^{1,3} Institut Teknologi Sumatera; Jalan Terusan Ryacudu, Way Huwi, Jati Agung, Lampung
Selatan; 0271-8030188

² Badan Riset dan Inovasi Nasional; Jl. Cisitua Lama, Sangkuriang, Dago, Kecamatan Coblong,
Kota Bandung, Jawa Barat

Received: ~~xxxx-xx-xx~~2023,
March 31st. Accepted: ~~xx-~~
~~xx-xx~~2023, August 16th

Keywords:

Lineament;
Menanga Fault;
Morphometry;
Segmentation.

Correspondent Email:

rezki.hendrawan@its.ac.id

How to cite this article:

Hendrawan, R.N.,
Draniswari, W.A., Bakhtiar,
A.Y., & Widiatama, A.J.
(2023). Geomorphic Indices
and Fault Segmentation
Indication of Menanga Fault
at Pesawaran, Lampung.
Jurnal Geofisika Eksplorasi,
09(03), 183-192.

Abstract. Remote sensing and GIS are playing important roles in geomorphology and hazard risks analysis. Pesawaran area located near the Menanga Fault and recently on the risk of earthquake that happened along this fault. Thus, it is essential to investigate the area actively affected by Menanga Fault as preliminary research about hazard risk related to Menanga Fault. The morphometry method based on DEMNAS and Landsat 8 was applied to evaluate the zone affected by Menanga Fault, and fracture data analysis was conducted to consider the possibility of fault segmentation resulting from its mechanism. We applied the morphometry method based on DEMNAS and Landsat 8 to evaluate the zone affected by Menanga Fault combined with fracture data analysis to consider the possibility of fault segmentation from its mechanism. The study area can be divided into 3 zones; zone A is greatly affected by Menanga Fault activity, zone B is affected by Menanga Fault and Mt. Pesawaran development, and zone C is tectonically less affected. Zone A landforms were not only formed as a result of Menanga ~~dip-slip~~Thrust fault but also the strike-slip fault segment. Fault segmentation exists in this zone with different mechanisms (strike-slip and dip-slip), producing lineaments with different trends, and differentiation of river patterns.

Abstrak. Penginderaan jauh dan GIS memainkan peran penting dalam geomorfologi dan analisis risiko bahaya. Daerah Pesawaran terletak dekat dengan Sesar Menanga dan baru-baru ini berada pada risiko gempa bumi yang terjadi di sepanjang sesar ini. Oleh karena itu, sangat penting untuk menyelidiki area yang terpengaruh secara aktif oleh Sesar Menanga sebagai penelitian awal tentang risiko bahaya yang terkait dengan Sesar Menanga. Kami menggunakan metode morfometri berbasis DEMNAS dan Landsat 8 untuk mengevaluasi zona yang terpengaruh oleh Sesar Menanga yang digabungkan dengan analisis data rekahan untuk mempertimbangkan kemungkinan segmentasi sesar dari mekanismenya.

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

Daerah studi dapat dibagi menjadi 3 zona; zona A sangat terpengaruh oleh aktivitas Sesar Menanga, zona B terpengaruh oleh Sesar Menanga dan perkembangan Gunung Pesawaran, dan zona C kurang terpengaruh secara tektonik. Landform di zona A tidak hanya terbentuk akibat patahan celah turun Menanga tetapi juga segmen patahan celah mendatar. Segmentasi sesar ada di zona ini dengan mekanisme yang berbeda (mendatar dan turun), menghasilkan kelurusan dengan tren yang berbeda, dan diferensiasi pola sungai.

1. INTRODUCTION

Remote sensing (RS) is increasingly playing an important role in earth observation over this decade. Geographic Information System (GIS) was one of the practical parts of hazard risk assessment, especially for spatial aspects, geomorphology analysis, visualization, and modelling (Ahmadi & Pekkan, 2021; Al-Ashkar et al., 2022; Moustafa et al., 2022; Ren et al., 2023; Van Westen, 2013). Digital mapping allows more efficient data collection and analysis nowadays (Fossen, 2019).

Geomorphology was a key component of hazard assessment because hazard events played a role in the dynamics of landforms and surface processes (Mohan et al., 2021; Gao et al., 2021; Van Westen, 2013; Keller & Pinter, 1996). Numerous processes affecting the dynamics of landforms and surfaces can be potentially dangerous for a human being. Those endogenic and exogenic processes can trigger hazardous processes, such as earthquakes, landslides, etc. (Keller & Pinter, 1996).

A great interest in remote sensing applications, earth dynamic modelling, and geomorphology has been observed over the past years. Previous research applied morphometry or geomorphic indices analysis on tectonically active regions, ongoing mountain building, river basins, and plate margins have been published recently (Yudhicara et al., 2017; Ganas et al., 2005; Rozycka & Migon, 2021). The remote sensing and morphometry analysis approach helps the researcher to solve the problem over the complex and weathered region. It is being a critical part of the reconnaissance phase of tectonic and/or disaster management research.

Menanga Fault was the active fault that is now believed as the source of the earthquake that happened earlier in the Pesawaran area (Nurfitriana et al., 2021, 2022). The previous study revealed that Menanga Fault was a relatively significant thrust fault (Nurfitriana et al., 2021, 2022; Mangga et al., 1993, 1994). This fault cuts through Paleozoic, Cretaceous, and Neogen Rocks. Pesawaran area is located near the shoreline and the geothermal manifestation (Haerudin & Kuntoroet al., 2016), most of the lithology was altered (Haerudin & Kuntoroet al., 2016), and none of the fault plane outcrops has yet been identified in this area. Besides that, it has a large population so disaster management systems were fully needed to prepare society from the hazard risks. No previous research explains the distribution of the Menanga Fault, its damage zone, and specific movement. Thus, it is essential to investigate the area actively affected by Menanga Fault by RS and GIS methods as preliminary research about hazard risk related to Menanga Fault.

This research applied the GIS method and morphometry calculation to evaluate the geomorphic indices and zone affected by Menanga Fault. Five geomorphic parameters were assessed in this research are Bifurcation Ratio Analysis (Rb), Drainage Density (Dd), Drainage Basin Shape (Bs), Hypsometric Curves and Integral (HI), and lineament trends (Keller & Pinter, 1996; Strahler, 1952). The geomorphic indices along with the field structural data will reveal the zonation of Menanga Fault area which can be a critical part for the next disaster risk assessment of this area.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Formatted: Not Highlight

¹⁴ ~~Our~~ the study area is located in the Pesawaran region, Lampung in the vicinity of Menanga Fault. Geographically, it is situated between 105°07'30"-105°15'00" to 5°32'0"-5°35'0" (Figure 1).

Based on the Regional Geology Map of Tanjungkarang (Mangga et al., 1993, 1994), ~~our~~ the study area ~~is~~ was composed of 7 rock units, from old to young aged Paleogene to Recent, there ~~are~~ were Undifferentiated Gunung Kasih Complex (Pzg), Menanga

Formation (Km), Tarahan Formation (Tpot), Sabu Formation (Tpos), Hulusimpang Formation (Tomh), Pesawaran Young Volcanic Deposits (Qhvp), and Alluvium (Qa). Menanga Formation (Km) which aged Cretaceous has structural contact with Undifferentiated Gunung Kasih Complex (Pzg) (Figure 2). Geological structures that formed in this area are subject to the subduction and ¹³ the northern block was the moving up part of the fault.




Figure 1. Location map of the study area, white box is the area of interest.

⁷ Formatted: Font: Minion Pro
Formatted: Font: Minion Pro
Formatted: Font: Minion Pro
Formatted: Font: Minion Pro

Formatted: Number of columns: 1
Formatted: Normal, Centered
Formatted Table

Formatted: FigureCaption - Wide, Centered




Figure 2. Geomorphological map of the research area.

3. MATERIAL AND METHODS

Digital Elevation Model Nasional (DEMNAS) with a spatial resolution of 8.25 meters and Landsat 8 taken between 2018/07/13 to 2020/08/31 with 30 meters resolution were the primary input data in this study. DEMNAS were the product combined from IFSAR data (5 meters resolution), TERRASAR-X (5 meters resolution), and ALOS PALSAR (11.25 meters resolution) from Geospatial Information Agency (BIG) Indonesia. Regional geology data as the basic regional framework was taken from the regional geological map of Tanjungkarang (Mangga et al., 1993).

Lineament delineation, hill shade analysis, watershed analysis, and morphometry parameter quantification carried out using ArcGIS 10.8 software and Microsoft Excel. The ArcGIS processing was completed with white box tools for hypsometric analysis. QGIS and Semi-Automatic Classification Plugin were used in this research for atmospheric correction, clipping, and band composite of Landsat 8. Lineament extraction was applied in RGB

band composite 5, 8, 2 ((Misra et al., 2020; Taoufik et al., 2016). In addition, PCI Geomatica 2018 was used to delineate the contour from hill shades and Landsat 8 automatically. This research includes the studio project for remote sensing and GIS analysis through the software mentioned before, a field visit, and a comprehensive analysis of stress. The GIS analysis was validated based on a field visit and geomorphological observation. Besides the geomorphological observation, shear fracture data were used for validation. The stress analysis of shear fracture data was done using WinTensor 4.0.3.

3. MATERIAL AND METHODS

Digital Elevation Model Nasional (DEMNAS) with a spatial resolution of 8.25 meters and Landsat 8 taken between 2018/07/13 to 2020/08/31 with 30 meters resolution were the primary input data in this study. DEMNAS were the product combined from IFSAR data (5 meters resolution), TERRASAR-X (5 meters resolution), and ALOS PALSAR (11.25 meters resolution) from Geospatial

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt

Formatted: Not Highlight

The area of interest is divided into 16 watersheds (DAS) and 6 river patterns: parallel in DAS 1, 3, 9, 10, 11, 15, and 16, sub-parallel in DAS 4, 6, 7, and 13, rectangular in DAS 8 and 14, dendritic in DAS 5 and 14, sub-dendritic in DAS 2, and pinnate in DAS 12 (Figure 23). The morphometric calculation was done for all watersheds. The bifurcation ratio (Rb) is the ratio number of river segments in each watershed that reflects the

Commented [A3]: Ho about DAS 11 dendritic?
When viewed from the geological map (Figure 2), the area shows the morphology of the ridge with metamorphic rock lithology from the Gunungkasih complex

Formatted: Number of columns



8
ranging from 1.04 to 6.36. Basin shape (B_s) value defines the planimetric shape of a basin (Keller & Pinter, 1996; Yudhicara et al., 2017). High B_s values provide the elongated basin and higher tectonic activity than the low B_s value. B_s value of 16 watersheds are ranging from 0.27 to 9.67. Drainage density (DD) defines the amount of water stored in a

stadiums. Northern and northwest area are belonging to mature stadium while the eastern and southeast areas is old stadiums. The data obtained from morphometry calculation cannot be directly interpreted to divide the tectonic affected zone. It is essential to make spatial observations to see the pattern of significant changes. Interpolation is performed by using Inverse Distance Weighting (IDW) and contouring methods to help the data visualization and compared with landsat. This visualization (Figure 34) then compared to the lineament taken from Landsat for further interpretation.

In general, the morphometry analysis from the parameters above shows that there is are 2 zone, the eastern block and the western block. Looking into more detail, the eastern block can be divided into two other zones as it tends to have different patterns of the lineament and morphometric range values in the north and south. Thus, there are 3 zones with different structural effects in the research area, those three zones are Zone A, Zone B, and Zone C (Figure 45). The drainage bifurcation ratio (R_b) shows that Zone A has a greater value than Zone B and C so it can be interpreted that the most significant level of fault activity is located in Zone A (Table 1).




Figure 4. Contouring map of the morphometry parameters.




Figure 5. Lineament map of the research area.

Table 1. Zone Classification.

Morphometric Parameters	Zone A	Zone B	Zone C
	DAS 2, 8, 11, 13	DAS 7, 9, 10, 12, 16	DAS 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 14, 15
Bifurcation Ratio (Rb)	1.64-6.36	1.04-3.70	1.44-3.79
Basin Shape (Bs)	0.7-3.7	1.34-9.67	0.27-3.30
Drainage Density (DD)	3.06-3.97	3.16-4.08	2.36-4.74
Hypsometric Curves and Integral (HI)	0.19-0.32	0.35-0.47	0.25-0.56
Lineament	16 W-SE, NE-SW	NW-SE	NE-SW
Geological Intepretation	Greatly affected by Menanga Fault	Affected by Menanga Fault and Mt. Pesawaran	Less Affected by Menanga Fault
Slope class	Gently slope (2-7%) - Steep (30-70%)	Moderately Steep (15-30%) - Very Steep (70-140%)	Flat - Moderately Steep (0-30%)

Formatted: Table.Caption.Centred, Left

Lineament data from band 5, 8, and 2 RGB composite generally show NW-SE trending, but there are different patterns between zone A and B (Figure 45). Zone A is dominated by both NW-SE and NE-SW trends. Based on the cross-cutting relationship, NW-SE trends are cut through NE-SW trends. Compared with the regional geology maps, those NW-SE trends are defined as Menanga Fault. On the other hand, based on remote sensing analysis, Zone B has dominant NW-SE lineament trends. Based on those trends, supported by morphography

and lithology distribution, the fault activities in this zone are not only affected by Menanga Fault but also volcanic process of Mt. Pesawaran which has eruption centre in the northwest part of the study area. Zone C in the northern part of the research area tends to have a NE-SW lineament pattern with less quantity of lineament. Zone C is relatively less affected by Menanga Fault.

The analysis is reinforced by six fractures as field data that were found in several areas representing each zone (green circle in Figure 5). Field data of zone A show shear fractures with a couple of plane directions

(Figure 6) which are thought to have been formed due to the activity of the Menanga Fault. Rose diagrams of A.1 and A.2 revealed NW-SE and NE-SW trending, in line with the result of remote sensing analysis. The most striking feature is, even in stations affected by Menanga Fault, the stress pattern implied a different system based on Anderson's

model (Anderson, 1951; Fossen, 2016). A.1 in the southeast area showed a strike-slip pattern with both maximum and minimum stress in the horizontal axis while A.2 indicated a dip-slip pattern with minimum stress presented on the vertical axis. The pattern of stress tend to be sinistral strike-slip movement.

Formatted: Number of columns: 1




Figure 6. Stereograph analysis of the shear fracture data from stations A.1 and A.2.

Formatted: Font: 11 pt

On the other hand, based on remote sensing analysis, Zone B has dominant NW-SE lineament trends. Based on these trends, supported by morphography and lithology distribution, the fault activities in this zone are not only affected by Menanga Fault but also volcanic process of Mt. Pesawaran which has eruption centre in the northwest part of the study area. Zone C in the northern part of the research area tends to have a NE-SW lineament pattern with less quantity of lineament. Zone C is relatively less affected by Menanga Fault.

The analysis is reinforced by six fractures as field data that were found in several areas representing each zone (green circle in Figure 45). Field data of zone A show shear

fractures with a couple of plane directions (Figure 56) which are thought to have been formed due to the activity of the Menanga Fault. Rose diagrams of A.1 and A.2 revealed NW-SE and NE-SW trending, in line with the result of remote sensing analysis. The most striking feature is, even in stations affected by Menanga Fault, the stress pattern implied a different system based on Anderson's model (Anderson, 1951; Fossen, 2016), A.1 in the southeast area showed a strike-slip pattern with both maximum and minimum stress in the horizontal axis while A.2 indicated a dip-slip pattern with minimum stress presented on the vertical axis. The pattern of stress tend to be sinistral strike-slip movement.

Move to field data from zone B, B.1 is shear fractures that have two dominance fractures trends, NE-SW and NW-SE (**Figure 67**). The shear fractures are dip-slip but the other one (B.2) is tensile-tension joints with only one dominant trending, thus these kinds of tensile-tension are not the product of Menanga Fault activity but are interpreted as formed by the growth of Mt. Pesawaran. The last zone is zone C, overall, the data shows only 1 major trend which is NE-SW thus it was not shear fracture related to tectonics but non-tectonically related fractures in the form of tensile-tension joints. The data from zone C are displayed as a rosette diagram only due to its type of tensile-tension joint (**Figure 78**).

In sum up, based on both GIS and field data, it can be interpreted that Menanga Fault was a major active tectonic process

that affected the landform formation but it was not the only process affecting its vicinity adjacent area. The zone that was greatly affected by Menanga Fault was zone A. The landform formation in the research area is not only affected by Menanga Fault but also formed by the growth of Mt. Pesawaran and other fault segments. Fault segmentation exists in this zone with different mechanisms (strike-slip and dip-slip), producing lineaments with different trends, and differentiation of river patterns. Fault segmentation corresponds with the different mechanisms in the nearby area as shown by the stereograph analysis of the fracture. This research is limited in assess the indication of fault segmentation, yet describes its boundary.




Figure 7. Stereograph analysis of the fracture data from station B.1 and B.2.




Figure 8. Rosette diagrams of the fracture data from station C.1 and C.2.

Formatted: Number of columns: 1

5. CONCLUSION

GIS processing and geomorphic indices calculation are suitable to evaluate the actively tectonic affected zone of Menanga Fault. The Pesawaran area can be divided into three zones, zone A located in the southeast greatly affected by Menanga Fault, zone B in the west is affected by both Menanga Fault and Mt. Pesawaran, while zone C is tectonically less affected. Furthermore, this study found the possibility of Menanga Fault segmentation that there are dip-slip faults and strike-slip faults in this area. Further research is recommended to consider the movement of each fault segment. It will be useful for the assessment of seismic hazards in the next steps because possibly the segmentation boundary behaves as the main point of shocks or rupture.

CONCLUSION

GIS processing and geomorphic indices calculation are suitable to evaluate the actively tectonic affected zone of Menanga Fault. The Pesawaran area can be divided into three zones, zone A located in the southeast greatly affected by Menanga Fault, zone B in the west is affected by both Menanga Fault and Mt. Pesawaran, while zone C is tectonically less affected. Furthermore, this study found the possibility of Menanga Fault segmentation that there are dip-slip faults and strike-slip faults in this area. Further research is recommended to consider the movement of each fault segment. It will be useful for the assessment of seismic hazards in the next steps because possibly the segmentation boundary behaves as the main point of shocks or rupture.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

RNH performed the conceptualization, GIS processing, data interpretation, analysis, and wrote the manuscript, WAD performed the data interpretation, analysis, and wrote the manuscript, AYB performed the GIS processing, layouting, and wrote the

manuscript, AJW performed the geological data for validation and wrote the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Ahmadi, H., & Pekkan, E. (2021). Fault-based geological lineaments extraction using remote sensing and GIS—a review. *Geosciences*. <https://www.mdpi.com/1085090>
- Al-Ashkar, A., Schlupp, A., Ferry, M., & Munkhuu, U. (2022). Tectonic Geomorphology and Paleoseismology of the Sharkhai fault: a new source of seismic hazard for Ulaanbaatar (Mongolia). *Solid Earth*. <https://se.copernicus.org/articles/13/761/2022/>
- Anderson, E. (1951). *The Dynamic of Faulting*. Edinburgh: Oliver & Boyd.
- Fossen, H. (2016). *Structural Geology 2nd edition*. United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press.
- Fossen, H. (2019). Writing papers with an emphasis on structural geology and tectonics: Advices and warnings. *Brazilian Journal of Geology*, 49(4). <https://doi.org/10.1590/2317-4889201920190109>
- Ganas, A., Pavlides, S., & Karastathis, V. (2005). DEM-based morphometry of range-front escarpments in Attica, central Greece, and its relation to fault slip rates. *Geomorphology*. 65(3-4).
- Gao, K., Huang, L., & Zheng, Y. (2021). Fault detection on seismic structural images using a nested residual U-Net. *Geoscience and Remote Sensing*. <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/9418625/>
- Haerudin, N., Karyanto, & Kuntoro, Y. (2016). Radon and thoron mapping to delineate the local-fault in the way Ratai geothermal field lampung Indonesia. *Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*. 11(7). 4804-4809.
- Keller, E. A., & Pinter, N. (1996). *Active tectonics: Earthquakes, uplift, and landscape*. Prentice Hall.

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt

Field Code Changed

Formatted: Font: 11 pt, Font color: Auto

- Mangga, S., Amirudin, Suwarti, T., Gafoer, S., & Sidarto. (1993). *Peta Geologi Lembar Tanjung Karang*. Bandung: Badan Geologi.
- Mangga, S., Amirudin, Suwarti, T., Gafoer, S., & Sidarto. (1994). *Geologi Lembar Tanjung Karang*. Bandung: Badan Geologi.
- Misra, A., Agarwal, K. K., Kothiyari, G. C., Talukdar, R., & Joshi, G. (2020). Quantitative geomorphic approach for identifying active deformation in the foreland region of central Indo-Nepal Himalaya. *Geotectonics*. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S0016852120040093>
- Mohan, A., Singh, A. K., Kumar, B., & ... (2021). Review on remote sensing methods for landslide detection using machine and deep learning. *Transactions on ...* <https://doi.org/10.1002/ett.3998>
- Moustafa, S. S. R., Abdalzaher, M. S., & Abdelhafiez, H. E. (2022). Seismo-Lineaments in Egypt: Analysis and Implications for Active Tectonic Structures and Earthquake Magnitudes. *Remote Sensing*. <https://www.mdpi.com/1986470>
- Nurfitriana, I., Nugraha, P., Wulandari, R., Fattah, E. I., & Wibowo, A. (2022). Identification of the Existence of Inferred Menanga Fault based on Gravity Anomaly, Pesawaran, Lampung. *Proceedings of the Soedirman International Conference on Mathematics and Applied*. 5, 78-83.
- Ren, Z., Zhang, P., Oguchi, T., & He, Z. (2023). Remote Sensing Perspectives on Geomorphology and Tectonic Processes. *Remote Sensing*. <https://www.mdpi.com/2072-4292/15/9/2327>
- Rozycka, M., & Migon, P. (2021). Morphometric properties of river basins as indicators of relative tectonic activity – Problems of data handling and interpretation. *Geomorphology*. 389.
- Strahler, A. (1952). Hypsometric (area-altitude) analysis of erosional topography. *Bulletin of the Geological Society of America*. 63(11), 1117-1142.
- Taoufik, M., Baghdad, B., El Hadi, H., & Laghlimi, M. (2016). Structural Interpretation of Lineaments by Remote Sensing and GIS using Landsat 8 Data : A Case Study of Akreuch Area (Morocco). *European Journal of Scientific Research*. 138. 216-224.
- Van Westen, C. J. (2013). *Remote Sensing and GIS for Natural Hazards Assessment and Disaster Risk Management*. Elsevier Ltd, vol.3.
- Yudhicara, Muslim, D., & Sudradjat, A. (2017). Geomorphic Analysis in Determining Tectonic Activity Affected by Sumatra Fault in Liwa Region and Its Surrounding Area, Lampung, *Indonesia. Indonesian Journal on Geoscience*. 4(3), 193-208.

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

● 8% Overall Similarity

Top sources found in the following databases:

- 5% Internet database
- Crossref database
- 4% Submitted Works database
- 6% Publications database
- Crossref Posted Content database

TOP SOURCES

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

1	Yusmansyah Siregar, Poza Anjani, Giskia Pebrisa, Anggi Deliana. "POT...	<1%
	Crossref	
2	Argo Galih Suhadha, Atriyon Julzarika. "Integration of Remote Sensing ...	<1%
	Crossref	
3	Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh on 2019-09-30	<1%
	Submitted works	
4	Moch Hilmi Zaenal Putra, Indra Andra Dinata, Imam Achmad Sadisun, ...	<1%
	Crossref	
5	University of Dehli on 2016-05-18	<1%
	Submitted works	
6	researchgate.net	<1%
	Internet	
7	Queen Mary and Westfield College on 2012-05-04	<1%
	Submitted works	
8	Chuanqi He, Yali Cheng, Gang Rao, Peng Chen, Jianmin Hu, Yangli Yu, ...	<1%
	Crossref	

9	Dao-Fu Dai, Pabalu P. Karunadharma, Ying A. Chiao, Nathan Basisty et ...	<1%
	Crossref	
10	doaj.org	<1%
	Internet	
11	Angga Jati Widiatama, Rezki Naufan Hendrawan, Sonya Rejeki Siahaan...	<1%
	Crossref	
12	repo.itera.ac.id	<1%
	Internet	
13	scirp.org	<1%
	Internet	
14	repository.lppm.unila.ac.id	<1%
	Internet	
15	ijog.geologi.esdm.go.id	<1%
	Internet	
16	mdpi-res.com	<1%
	Internet	
17	mdpi.com	<1%
	Internet	
18	sciendo.com	<1%
	Internet	
19	N Haerudin, A Zaenudin, Y Aribowo, I G B Darmawan. "Mapping and an...	<1%
	Crossref	
20	Rustadi Rustadi, Eko Rananda. "ROCK FORMATION AND SITE CLASS I...	<1%
	Crossref	